

CCRDA Indicative Criteria to Evaluate Good Practices

1. **Innovativeness: (10%)**
2. **Scalability : (15%)**
3. **Sustainability: (10%)**
4. **Cost effectiveness: (10%)**
5. **Community Attachment, Networking & Partnership (15%)**
6. **Impact (20%)**
7. **Gender Sensitivity and Inclusiveness (10%)**
8. **Strategic/Programmatic Alliance (10%)**

Definition of Indicative Criteria to evaluate the 2014/15 entries of Good Practices

(All the criteria should be supported with evidences from the project)

1. **Innovativeness (10%)**
 - Was it new for the area?
 - Was it tried somewhere and adapted to Ethiopian particular condition?
 - Is it easily adaptable to community?
 - Was it well promoted and accepted?
 - Did it use locally available resources, indigenous knowledge, unique approaches, technologies, marketing?
2. **Scalability (15 %)**
 - Can the community, the public at large and government easily learn to expand the practices widely?
 - Has it been scaled up somewhere?
 - Is it done with low capital, technologically simple, , using local resources which everybody would be encouraged to emulate?
3. **Sustainability (10%)**
 - Are there practical evidences that the society has accepted and internalized the knowledge, skills and attitudes, to carry over the project when and if the project is terminated?
 - Does the project have institutional (organizational) sustainability?
 - Does it have financial sustainability?
 - Is it environment friendly?

- Were there planned arrangements to facilitate transfer of skills?
- Is it compatible with existing indigenous knowledge and community practices?

4. Cost effectiveness (10%)

- How large or small was the human and capital investment against its output/impact in terms of short/long term benefits on the community (value for money)?
- How much cost effective was it considering the context (the project location remoteness, the climate, the level of commitment needed etc?)

5. Community Ownership, Networking and partnership (15%)

- Did it involve other NGOs, Development partners, donors, other support groups and forums?
- Did it involve the community in planning, implementation and reviewing the progress?
- Were religious leaders, elders and community leaders involved?
- Were the disabled and marginalized groups of society involved in the project?
- Any venue for experience sharing with like-minded organizations?
- Did it use the existing community structure?
- Was there Partnership with local Government and other stakeholders?

6. Impact (20%)

- What objectively verifiable (qualitative and quantitative) results are witnessed in the life of the beneficiaries?
- What tangible intermediate outcomes are being observed? (for projects that are not completed yet)
- Is the impact evidence-based?

7. Gender Sensitivity and Inclusiveness (10%)

- Does the project involve women as decision makers and work on the power relation?
- Does it involve the most disadvantaged/marginalized sects of the society
- Does the project involve men as collaborators in women development projects and women in vice versa?

8. Strategic/Programmatic Alignment with National and Global Development Plans (10%)

- Does it align with the national development plans?
- Does it align with the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and other national development plans?

//////////